arXiv:1601.08177v1 [math.DG] 29 Jan 2016

ON ASANOV'S FINSLEROID-FINSLER METRICS AS THE SOLUTIONS OF A CONFORMAL RIGIDITY PROBLEM

CS. VINCZE

Abstract. Finsleroid-Finsler metrics form an important class of singular (y-local) Finsler metrics. They were introduced by G. S. Asanov [2] in 2006. As the special case of the general construction Asanov produced singular (y - local) examples of Landsberg spaces of dimension at least three that are not of Berwald type. The existence of regular (y - global) Landsberg metrics that are not of Berwald type is an open problem up to this day; for a detailed exposition of the so-called unicorn problem in Finsler geometry see D. Bao [3].

In this paper we are going to characterize the Finsleroid-Finsler metrics as the solutions of a conformal rigidity problem. We are looking for (non-Riemannian) Finsler metrics admitting a (non-homothetic) conformal change such that the mixed curvature tensor of the Berwald connection contracted by the derivatives of the logarithmic scale function is invariant. We prove that the solutions of class at least $\mathcal{C}^2$ on the complement of the zero section are conformal to Finsleroid-Finsler metrics.

Introduction

In the paper we prove the following theorems.

Theorem A Let M be a manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$ equipped with the Finslerian metric function F and consider a function $\alpha\colon M \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the regularity condition $d_p\alpha \neq 0$ at a point $p \in M$. If the sectional curvature of the indicatrix hypersurface at p is positive and

$$\tilde{P}^l_{ijk} = P^l_{ijk}$$

under the conformal change $\tilde{F} = e^{\alpha}F$, where P^l_{ijk} is the mixed curvature tensor of the Berwald connection, then F is a locally Riemannian metric function.

Theorem B Let M be a manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$ equipped with the Finslerian metric function F and consider a function $\alpha\colon M \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the regularity condition $d_p\alpha \neq 0$ at a point $p \in M$. If the sectional curvature of the indicatrix hypersurface at p is positive and

$$\tilde{P}^l_{ijk}\alpha_l = P^l_{ijk}\alpha_l$$

under the conformal change $\tilde{F} = e^{\alpha}F$, where α_l denotes the partial derivatives of α (depending only on the position), then F is locally conformal to a Finsleroid-Finsler metric or it is a locally Riemannian metric function.

Looking for results like Theorem A and Theorem B was originally motivated by the so-called Matsumoto's problem [12] in 2001: are there conformally related (non-Riemannian) Berwald manifolds? The problem is closely related to the intrinsic characterization of Wagner manifolds [17], see also [20]. Wagner manifolds form a special class of generalized Berwald manifolds admitting a linear connection on the base manifold such that the parallel transports preserve the Finslerian norm of tangent vectors. Especially, the compatible linear connection of a Wagner manifold is semi-symmetric with a special (exact or at least closed) one-form in the usual decomposition of its torsion. Wagner manifolds can be also defined as conformally Berwald

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C60, 58B20.

Key words and phrases. Finsler spaces, Conformality, Finsleroid-Finsler metrics.

Finsler manifolds due to M. Hashiguchi and Y. Ychijyo [10], see also [14], [15] and [19]. The logarithm of the scale function (the logarithmic scale function) corresponds to the potential of the one-form in the torsion of the compatible linear connection up to a constant proportional term. It is clear that the scale function of the conformal change of a Finslerian metric function to a Berwaldian one is uniquely determined (up to a constant homothetic term) if and only if the conformality between two (non-Riemannian) Berwald manifolds must be trivial (homothetic). The first attempt to solve Matsumoto's problem was given in [16], where the author investigated the consequences of the conformal invariance of the mixed curvature tensor of the Berwald connection (see Theorem A). It is a natural generalization of the original problem[1]. In what follows we generalize the basic results of [16] to prove Theorem B which gives the conformal characterization of Finsleroid-Finsler metrics [2]. The cronology of the basic steps:

- 1998 - the central symmetric version of the Finsleroid-Finsler metric in G. S. Asanov [1].
- 2003 - the non-symmetric version of the Finsleroid-Finsler metric in [16] as *Asanov-type Finslerian metric functions*. They satisfy differential equation (46) of the generalized Matsumoto's problem (conformal invariance of the mixed curvature tensor of the Berwald connection) for the Finslerian energy along special directions in the tangent spaces; see also [19].
- 2006 - Asanov's necessary and sufficient conditions for (non-symmetric) Finsleroid-Finsler metrics to be of Landsberg but not of Berwald type;
- 2016 - non-symmetric Finsleroid-Finsler metrics as the solution of a conformal rigidity problem (the invariance of the **contracted** mixed curvature tensor of the Berwald connection), see Theorem B and section 6.2. (the converse of the theorem);

for a detailed exposition of the unicorn problem in Finsler geometry see D. Bao [3]. In what follows we give a characterization of Finsleroid-Finsler metrics as the singular non-Riemannian solutions of the conformal rigidity problem $\tilde{P}^l_{ijk}\alpha_l = P^l_{ijk}\alpha_l$, where P^l_{ijk}'s are the components of the mixed curvature tensor of the Berwald connection and α_l's are the partial derivatives of the "logarithmic" scale function α depending only on the position. The basic steps of the proof are Theorem 1, Theorem 2, the solution of a Ricatty-type diffrential equation (sections 4.2, 4.4, 4.5 and 4.6) and Theorem 6.

Acknowledgement

The paper was motivated by the oral communication with Professor David Bao at the 50th Symposium on Finsler Geometry (21-25. Oct. 2015, Hiroshima, Japan). I would like to thank him for paying my attention to some correspondences between Asanov's Unicorn metrics and Finsler metrics satisfying conformal rigidity properties. I am very grateful for his human and professional encouragement.

The work is supported by the University of Debrecen's internal research project RH/885/2013.

1. Notations and terminology

Let M be a manifold with local coordinates $u^1, \dots, u^n$. The induced coordinate system of the tangent manifold TM consists of the functions

$$x^1, \dots, x^n \ \text{ and } \ y^1, \dots, y^n,$$

where x's refer to the coordinates of the base point and y's denote the coordinates of the directions:

$$v \in T_pM \ \text{ can be written as } \ v = y^i(v)\frac{\partial}{\partial u^i}_{x(v)}, \ \text{ where } \ x(v) = p.$$

[1]Bochner's technic and the theory of geometric vector fields in the tangent spaces of a Finsler manifold give another way to solve the generalized Matsumoto's problem in [18].

1.1. **Finsler metrics.** A Finsler metric is a continuous function $F\colon TM \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the following conditions:

- F is smooth on the complement of the zero section (regularity),
- $F(tv) = tF(v)$ for all $t > 0$ (positive homogenity),
- the Hessian

$$g_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 E}{\partial y^i \partial y^j}$$

of the Finslerian energy function $E = (1/2)F^2$ is positive definite at all nonzero elements $v \in T_pM$ (strong convexity). It is called the Riemann-Finsler metric of the Finsler manifold.

In what follows we summerize some basic notations and facts we need to prove our theorems. As a general reference of Finsler geometry and the forthcoming list of quantities see [3] and [4]:

- F is a Finsler metric function, $E := \frac{1}{2}F^2$ is the energy function,
- $l_i = \frac{\partial F}{\partial y^i}$,
- $g_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 E}{\partial y^i \partial y^j}$ is the Riemann-Finsler metric and its inverse $g^{ij} = (g_{ij})^{-1}$,
- $C = y^l \frac{\partial}{\partial y^l}$ is the Liouville vector field,
- $C_{ijk} = \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial y^k}$ is the so-called first Cartan tensor[2], $\mathcal{C}^l_{ij} = g^{lk}\mathcal{C}_{ijk}$. The first Cartan tensor is totally symmetric and $y^k\mathcal{C}_{ijk} = 0$. It is also known that

$$\frac{\partial g^{lm}}{\partial y^i} = -2g^{mk}\mathcal{C}^l_{ik} = -2\mathcal{C}^{lm}_i \tag{1}$$

and, consequently,

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{C}^l_{jk}}{\partial y^i} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{C}^l_{ik}}{\partial y^j} = 2\left(\mathcal{C}^l_{jm}\mathcal{C}^m_{ik} - \mathcal{C}^l_{im}\mathcal{C}^m_{jk}\right), \quad \text{where} \quad Q^l_{ijk} = \mathcal{C}^l_{jm}\mathcal{C}^m_{ik} - \mathcal{C}^l_{im}\mathcal{C}^m_{jk} \tag{2}$$

is the vv-curvature of the Cartan connection.

1.2. **Geodesic spray coefficients:**

$$G^l = \frac{1}{2}g^{lm}\left(y^k\frac{\partial F l_m}{\partial x^k} - F\frac{\partial F}{\partial x^m}\right), \quad \text{i.e.} \quad G^l = \frac{1}{2}g^{lm}\left(y^k\frac{\partial^2 E}{\partial y^m \partial x^k} - \frac{\partial E}{\partial x^m}\right). \tag{3}$$

1.3. **Horizontal sections:**

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - G^l_i\frac{\partial}{\partial y^l}, \quad \text{where} \quad G^l_i = \frac{\partial G^l}{\partial y^i}.$$

[2]The Cartan tensor quantities are often defined as

$$A_{ijk} = \frac{F}{2}\frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial y^k}$$

see e.g. [3] and [4]. The symbol $\mathcal{C}_{ijk}$ follows [7] and [8].

1.4. **The second Cartan tensor:** (Landsberg tensor[3])

$$P^l_{ij}=\frac{1}{2}g^{lm}\left(\frac{\delta g_{jm}}{\delta x^i}-G^k_{ij}g_{km}-G^k_{im}g_{jk}\right)=\frac{1}{2}g^{lm}\left(\frac{\partial g_{jm}}{\partial x^i}-2G^k_i\mathcal{C}_{jkm}-G^k_{ij}g_{km}-G^k_{im}g_{jk}\right),$$

where $G^l_{ij}=\frac{\partial G^l_i}{\partial y^j}$.

1.5. **The mixed curvature of the Berwald connection:**

$$P^l_{ijk}=-G^l_{ijk},\quad \text{where}\quad G^l_{ijk}=\frac{\partial G^l_{ij}}{\partial y^k}.$$

1.6. **An identity:**

$$P^l_{ij}=-\frac{F}{2}l_m g^{kl}P^m_{ijk} \tag{4}$$

Proof. Since

- $Fl_m=\dfrac{\partial E}{\partial y^m}$,
- $\dfrac{\partial E}{\partial y^m}G^m=\dfrac{1}{2}y^k\dfrac{\partial E}{\partial x^k}$,
- $g_{mi}G^m=\dfrac{1}{2}\left(y^k\dfrac{\partial^2 E}{\partial y^i\partial x^k}-\dfrac{\partial E}{\partial x^i}\right)$,
- $\dfrac{\partial}{\partial y^i}\left(\dfrac{\partial E}{\partial y^m}G^m\right)-g_{mi}G^m=\dfrac{\partial E}{\partial x^i}$

we have

$$-Fl_mP^m_{ijk}=\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^m}G^m_{ijk}=$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y^k}\left(\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^m}G^m_{ij}\right)-g_{mk}G^m_{ij}=\frac{\partial}{\partial y^k}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^j}\left(\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^m}G^m_i\right)-g_{mj}G^m_i\right)-g_{mk}G^m_{ij}=$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y^k}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^j}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}\left(\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^m}G^m\right)-g_{mi}G^m\right)-g_{mj}G^m_i\right)-g_{mk}G^m_{ij}=$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y^k}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^j}\left(\frac{\partial E}{\partial x^i}\right)-g_{mj}G^m_i\right)-g_{mk}G^m_{ij}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}g_{jk}-2\mathcal{C}_{jmk}G^m_i-g_{mj}G^m_{ik}-g_{mk}G^m_{ij}=$$

$$2P_{ijk}=2g_{kl}P^l_{ij}\quad\Rightarrow\quad P^l_{ij}=-\frac{F}{2}l_mg^{kl}P^m_{ijk}$$

as was to be proved □

2. Conformality

Definition 1. *Let $\tilde F$, $F\colon TM\to\mathbb{R}$ be Finsler metrics. They are conformally related if $\tilde F(x,y)=e^{\alpha(x)}F(x,y)$, where $\alpha\colon M\to\mathbb{R}$ is a function (depending only on the position).*

As an easy consequence of the conformality we have:

$$\tilde E=e^{2\alpha}E,\quad \tilde g_{ij}=e^{2\alpha}g_{ij}\quad\Rightarrow\quad\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^k_{ij}=\mathcal{C}^k_{ij}.$$

For the sake of simplicity let us use the following abbreviation:

- $\alpha_m=\dfrac{\partial\alpha}{\partial u^m},\quad m=1,\dots,n.$

[3]Following subsection 1.6. the Landsberg tensor is often defined as

$$\dot A_{ijk}=-\frac{1}{2}Fl_i\frac{\partial G^l_i}{\partial y^j};$$

see e.g. [3] and [4]. The symbol P^l_{ij} follows [9] and [11].

Using (3)

$$\tilde{G}^l = G^l + y^m\alpha_m y^l - Eg^{lm}\alpha_m.$$

According to its distinguished role let us introduce the gradient-type vector field

$$X = X^l\frac{\partial}{\partial y^l}, \quad \text{where} \quad X^l = g^{lm}\alpha_m \quad \Rightarrow \quad g_{lm}X^l = \alpha_m \tag{5}$$

and $X^l\alpha_l = X^l g_{lm}X^m = g(X,X)$ is just the Riemann-Finsler norm square of the vector field X. Under this notation

$$\tilde{G}^l = G^l + y^m\alpha_m y^l - EX^l, \tag{6}$$

$$\tilde{G}^l_i = G^l_i + \alpha_i y^l + y^m\alpha_m\delta^l_i - \frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i}X^l - E\frac{\partial X^l}{\partial y^i}. \tag{7}$$

According to its distinguished role let us introduce the (vector valued) one-form

$$X^l_i = \frac{\partial X^l}{\partial y^i}. \tag{8}$$

We have

$$X^l_i = \frac{\partial X^l}{\partial y^i} = \frac{\partial g^{lm}}{\partial y^i}\alpha_m = -2\mathcal{C}^{lm}_i\alpha_m = \begin{cases} -2g^{ms}\mathcal{C}^l_{is}\alpha_m = -2X^s\mathcal{C}^l_{is} \text{ or, equivalently,} \\ -2g^{ls}\mathcal{C}^m_{is}\alpha_m \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

and the second line of formula (9) gives the **symmetry** property

$$g_{lk}X^l_i = -2\mathcal{C}^m_{ik}\alpha_m \quad \Rightarrow \quad g_{lk}X^l_i = g_{li}X^l_k \tag{10}$$

because of the symmetry of the first Cartan tensor. Therefore we also have the following **cross-lifting** formula

$$g^{kl}X^m_k g_{mj} = X^l_j. \tag{11}$$

Finally

$$X^s X^l_s = -2X^s X^t\mathcal{C}^l_{st}. \tag{12}$$

Using formula (7)

$$\tilde{G}^l_{ij} = G^l_{ij} + \alpha_i\delta^l_j + \alpha_j\delta^l_i - g_{ij}X^l - \frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i}X^l_j - \frac{\partial E}{\partial y^j}X^l_i - E\frac{\partial X^l_i}{\partial y^j}. \tag{13}$$

According to its distinguished role let us introduce the quantity

$$X^l_{ij} = \frac{\partial X^l_i}{\partial y^j} = \frac{\partial^2 X^l}{\partial y^j\partial y^i}.$$

Proposition 1. (Transformation formula for the Landsberg tensor)

$$\tilde{P}^l_{ij} = P^l_{ij} - y^m\alpha_m\mathcal{C}^l_{ij} + EX^s_i\mathcal{C}^l_{js} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i}X^l_j + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^j}X^l_i + \frac{1}{2}g_{sj}X^s_i y^l + \frac{1}{2}EX^l_{ij} + \frac{1}{2}Eg^{lm}X^s_{im}g_{sj}.$$

The proof is a long straightforward calculation; see [16] and Hashiguchi [9]. In terms of the first Cartan tensor

$$g^{lm}X^s_{im}g_{sj} = g^{lm}\frac{\partial X^s_m}{\partial y^i}g_{sj} = \frac{\partial g^{lm}X^s_m g_{sj}}{\partial y^i} - \frac{\partial g^{lm}}{\partial y^i}X^s_m g_{sj} - g^{lm}X^s_m\frac{\partial g_{sj}}{\partial y^i} \overset{(11)}{=}$$

$$X^l_{ij} + 2\mathcal{C}^{lm}_i X^s_m g_{sj} - 2g^{lm}X^s_m g_{sr}\mathcal{C}^r_{ji} \overset{(10)}{=}$$

$$X^l_{ij} + 2\mathcal{C}^{lm}_i X^s_j g_{sm} - 2g^{lm}X^s_r g_{sm}\mathcal{C}^r_{ji} = X^l_{ij} + 2\mathcal{C}^l_{is}X^s_j - 2X^l_r\mathcal{C}^r_{ij}.$$

Therefore

$$\tilde{P}^l_{ij} = P^l_{ij} - y^m\alpha_m\mathcal{C}^l_{ij} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i}X^l_j + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^j}X^l_i + \frac{1}{2}g_{sj}X^s_i y^l + EX^l_{ij} + EX^s_i\mathcal{C}^l_{js} + E\mathcal{C}^l_{is}X^s_j - EX^l_r\mathcal{C}^r_{ij}. \tag{14}$$

Remark 1. Some further computation shows that

$$X^l_{ij} + X^s_i\mathcal{C}^l_{js} + \mathcal{C}^l_{is}X^s_j - X^l_r\mathcal{C}^r_{ij} = -2X^s\nabla^v_s\mathcal{C}^l_{ij}$$

where ∇^v_s denotes the v-covariant derivative with respect to the Cartan connection. Therefore

$$\tilde{P}^l_{ij} = P^l_{ij} - y^m\alpha_m\mathcal{C}^l_{ij} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i}X^l_j + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^j}X^l_i + \frac{1}{2}g_{sj}X^s_i y^l - 2EX^s\nabla^v_s\mathcal{C}^l_{ij}$$

and the formula corresponds to formula (21) in [16] or formula (3.4C) in [9]; note that [9] involves an extra minus sign in the definition of P^l_{ij} (formula (2.14*), page 35).

3. Special conformal relationships I

From now on we suppose that the Landsberg tensor satisfies the invariance property

$$\tilde{P}^l_{ij}\alpha_l = P^l_{ij}\alpha_l$$

under the conformal change $\tilde{F} = e^\alpha F$. As a direct consequence of formula (14) and the invariance property we have special expressions for X^l_{ij} and the contracted quantities $X^l_{ij}\alpha_l$ and $X^jX^l_{ij}$.

Corollary 1. *If* $\tilde{P}^l_{ij} = P^l_{ij}$ *then*

$$EX^l_{ij} = y^m\alpha_m\mathcal{C}^l_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i}X^l_j - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^j}X^l_i - \frac{1}{2}g_{sj}X^s_i y^l - EX^s_i\mathcal{C}^l_{js} - E\mathcal{C}^l_{is}X^s_j + EX^l_m\mathcal{C}^m_{ij}. \tag{15}$$

Proof. Equation (15) is a direct consequence of the transformation formula (14). □

Corollary 2. *If* $\tilde{P}^l_{ij}\alpha_l = P^l_{ij}\alpha_l$ *then*

$$EX^l_{ij}\alpha_l = \tag{16}$$

$$\left(y^m\alpha_m\mathcal{C}^l_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i}X^l_j - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^j}X^l_i - \frac{1}{2}g_{sj}X^s_i y^l - EX^s_i\mathcal{C}^l_{js} - E\mathcal{C}^l_{is}X^s_j + EX^l_m\mathcal{C}^m_{ij}\right)\alpha_l$$

and

$$EX^jX^l_{ij} = \tag{17}$$

$$X^j\left(y^m\alpha_m\mathcal{C}^l_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i}X^l_j - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^j}X^l_i - \frac{1}{2}g_{sj}X^s_i y^l - EX^s_i\mathcal{C}^l_{js} - E\mathcal{C}^l_{is}X^s_j + EX^l_m\mathcal{C}^m_{ij}\right).$$

Proof. Equation (16) is a direct consequence of Corollary 1. Since the lowered second Cartan tensor P_{ijk} is totally symmetric we have that

$$P^l_{ij}\alpha_l = g^{lk}P_{ijk}\alpha_l \overset{(5)}{=} X^kP_{ijk} = X^kP_{ikj} = X^kP^l_{ik}g_{lj}.$$

Using that $\tilde{g}_{ij} = e^{2\alpha}g_{ij}$, the same computation results in

$$\tilde{P}^l_{ij}\alpha_l = \tilde{g}^{lk}\tilde{P}_{ijk}\alpha_l = \frac{1}{e^{2\alpha}}X^k\tilde{P}_{ijk} = \frac{1}{e^{2\alpha}}X^k\tilde{P}_{ikj} = \frac{1}{e^{2\alpha}}X^k\tilde{P}^l_{ik}\tilde{g}_{lj} = X^k\tilde{P}^l_{ik}g_{lj},$$

i.e. $\tilde{P}^l_{ij}\alpha_l = P^l_{ij}\alpha_l$ implies that

$$X^kP^l_{ik}g_{lj} = X^k\tilde{P}^l_{ik}g_{lj} \quad\Rightarrow\quad X^kP^l_{ik} = X^k\tilde{P}^l_{ik}.$$

This means, by Corollary 1, that formula (17) holds. □

3.1. **The first basic step.** Equations (16) and (17) will be the key formulas to conclude the linear dependence of the vector fields

$$X^l\frac{\partial}{\partial y^l}-\frac{X^l}{F}\frac{\partial F}{\partial y^l}C \quad \text{and} \quad X^sX^l_s\frac{\partial}{\partial y^l}\overset{(12)}{=}-2X^sX^t\mathcal{C}^l_{st}\frac{\partial}{\partial y^l}.$$

Since

$$X^l\frac{\partial F}{\partial y^l}=\frac{X^l}{F}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^l}\overset{(5)}{=}\frac{g^{lm}\alpha_m}{F}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^l}=\frac{y^m\alpha_m}{F}$$

we can also write that

$$X^l\frac{\partial}{\partial y^l}-\frac{X^l}{F}\frac{\partial F}{\partial y^l}C=X^l\frac{\partial}{\partial y^l}-\frac{y^m\alpha_m}{F^2}y^l\frac{\partial}{\partial y^l}=X^l\frac{\partial}{\partial y^l}-\frac{y^m\alpha_m}{2E}y^l\frac{\partial}{\partial y^l}.$$

Remark 2. Note that the projected vector field

$$X^l\frac{\partial}{\partial y^l}-\frac{X^l}{F}\frac{\partial F}{\partial y^l}C$$

is obviously tangential to the indicatrix hypersurface. The vector field $X^sX^l_s\dfrac{\partial}{\partial y^l}$ is also tangential to the indicatrix because of formula (12) and the basic properties of the first Cartan tensor*:*

$$X^sX^l_s\frac{\partial F}{\partial y^l}=\frac{X^sX^l_s}{F}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^l}\overset{(9)}{=}\frac{X^s}{F}\left(-2X^t\mathcal{C}^l_{st}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^l}\right),\quad \textit{where}\ \ \mathcal{C}^l_{st}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^l}=g^{lm}\mathcal{C}_{mst}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^l}=y^m\mathcal{C}_{mst}=0.$$

To conclude the linear dependency we use the substitution of X^s systematically into the arguments of the difference tensor $B^l_{ijk}=\tilde{G}^l_{ijk}-G^l_{ijk}$. Especially we prove the following lemma which is the generalization of Lemma 5 in [16] (page 22).

Lemma 1. *If* $\tilde{P}^l_{ij}\alpha_l=P^l_{ij}\alpha_l$ *then*

$$\frac{1}{3}X^kX^jB^l_{ijk}\alpha_l=\frac{1}{2}\left(X^l\alpha_lX^s_i\alpha_s-X^kX^j_k\alpha_j\alpha_i\right)+\frac{y^m\alpha_m}{4E}\left(X^t_jX^j\alpha_t\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i}-(y^m\alpha_m)X^l_i\alpha_l\right)+$$

$$EX^jX^s_jX^pX^rQ_{pisr}$$

where

$$B^l_{ijk}=\tilde{G}^l_{ijk}-G^l_{ijk}$$

is the difference tensor of the mixed curveture of the Berwald connection and $Q_{pisr}=g_{pl}Q^l_{isr}$ *is the lowered vv-curvature tensor of the Cartan connection.*

Proof. Let us introduce the abbreviation

$$B^l_{ij}=\tilde{G}^l_{ij}-G^l_{ij}\overset{(13)}{=}\alpha_i\delta^l_j+\alpha_j\delta^l_i-g_{ij}X^l-\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i}X^l_j-\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^j}X^l_i-EX^l_{ij}. \tag{18}$$

Since

$$X^kX^jB^l_{ijk}\alpha_l=X^kX^j\frac{\partial B^l_{ij}}{\partial y^k}\alpha_l=X^k\frac{\partial}{\partial y^k}\left(X^jB^l_{ij}\alpha_l\right)-X^kX^j_kB^l_{ij}\alpha_l \tag{19}$$

it is enough to compute the terms

$$X^k\frac{\partial}{\partial y^k}\left(X^jB^l_{ij}\alpha_l\right)\quad\text{and}\quad X^kX^j_kB^l_{ij}\alpha_l.$$

By some direct calculations

$$X^jB^l_{ij}\alpha_l\overset{(18)}{=}X^j\alpha_j\alpha_i-\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i}X^jX^l_j\alpha_l-y^m\alpha_mX^l_i\alpha_l-EX^jX^l_{ij}\alpha_l \tag{20}$$

because of

$$X^j\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^j}=g^{jm}\alpha_m\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^j}=y^m\alpha_m\quad\text{and}\quad X^jg_{ij}X^l=\alpha_iX^l.$$

Using formula (16)

$$EX^jX^l_{ij}\alpha_l=y^m\alpha_m\underline{X^j\mathcal{C}^l_{ij}\alpha_l}-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i}X^jX^l_j\alpha_l-$$

$$\frac{1}{2}X^j\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^j}\underline{X^l_i\alpha_l}-\frac{1}{2}\underline{X^jg_{sj}X^s_i}y^l\alpha_l-EX^s_iX^j\mathcal{C}^l_{js}\alpha_l-E\mathcal{C}^l_{is}X^jX^s_j\alpha_l+EX^l_m\alpha_lX^j\mathcal{C}^m_{ij}\overset{(5),(9)}{=}$$

$$-\frac{3}{2}y^m\alpha_mX^l_i\alpha_l-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i}X^jX^l_j\alpha_l-E\mathcal{C}^l_{is}X^jX^s_j\alpha_l-EX^s_iX^j\mathcal{C}^l_{js}\alpha_l+EX^l_r\alpha_lX^j\mathcal{C}^r_{ij}.$$

On the other hand

$$X^l_r\alpha_lX^j\mathcal{C}^r_{ij}\overset{(9)}{=}-\frac{1}{2}X^l_r\alpha_lX^r_i,\quad X^s_iX^j\mathcal{C}^l_{js}\alpha_l\overset{(9)}{=}-\frac{1}{2}X^s_iX^l_s\alpha_l,$$

$$\mathcal{C}^l_{is}X^jX^s_j\alpha_l\overset{(10)}{=}-\frac{1}{2}g_{sr}X^r_iX^jX^s_j=-\frac{1}{2}g_{sj}X^r_iX^jX^s_r=-\frac{1}{2}\alpha_sX^r_iX^s_r$$

and, consequently,

$$EX^jX^l_{ij}\alpha_l=-\frac{3}{2}y^m\alpha_mX^l_i\alpha_l-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i}X^jX^l_j\alpha_l+\frac{1}{2}EX^l_iX^m_l\alpha_m.\tag{21}$$

From equations (20) and (21)

$$X^jB^l_{ij}\alpha_l=X^j\alpha_j\alpha_i-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i}X^jX^l_j\alpha_l+\frac{1}{2}y^l\alpha_lX^s_i\alpha_s-\frac{1}{2}EX^m_lX^l_i\alpha_m.\tag{22}$$

Using the previous formula

$$X^k\frac{\partial}{\partial y^k}\left(X^jB^l_{ij}\alpha_l\right)=X^k\left(X^j_k\alpha_j\alpha_i-\frac{1}{2}g_{ik}X^jX^l_j\alpha_l-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i}X^j_kX^l_j\alpha_l-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i}X^jX^l_{jk}\alpha_l\right)+\tag{23}$$

$$X^k\left(\frac{1}{2}\alpha_kX^s_i\alpha_s+\frac{1}{2}y^l\alpha_lX^s_{ik}\alpha_s-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^k}X^m_lX^l_i\alpha_m-\frac{1}{2}EX^m_{lk}X^l_i\alpha_m-\frac{1}{2}EX^m_lX^l_{ik}\alpha_m\right)=$$

$$X^kX^j_k\alpha_j\alpha_i-\frac{1}{2}\alpha_iX^jX^l_j\alpha_l-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i}X^kX^j_kX^l_j\alpha_l-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i}X^jX^kX^l_{jk}\alpha_l+$$

$$\frac{1}{2}X^l\alpha_lX^s_i\alpha_s+\frac{1}{2}y^l\alpha_lX^kX^s_{ik}\alpha_s-\frac{1}{2}y^m\alpha_mX^s_lX^l_i\alpha_s-\frac{1}{2}EX^kX^m_{lk}X^l_i\alpha_m-\frac{1}{2}EX^m_lX^kX^l_{ik}\alpha_m=$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\alpha_iX^jX^l_j\alpha_l-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i}X^kX^j_kX^l_j\alpha_l-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i}X^j\underline{X^kX^l_{jk}\alpha_l}+$$

$$\frac{1}{2}X^l\alpha_lX^s_i\alpha_s+\frac{1}{2}y^l\alpha_l\underline{X^kX^s_{ik}\alpha_s}-\frac{1}{2}y^m\alpha_mX^s_lX^l_i\alpha_s-\frac{1}{2}EX^l_i\underline{X^kX^m_{lk}\alpha_m}-\frac{1}{2}\underline{EX^kX^l_{ik}X^m_l\alpha_m}.$$

Each term containing X^l_{ij} means second order partial derivatives of X^l with respect to y's. To reduce the order of the partial differentiation in the first, the second and the third indicated terms we can directly use formula (21); for example (by replacing the free index i with j in (21))

$$X^kX^l_{jk}\alpha_l\overset{(21)}{=}\frac{1}{E}\left(-\frac{3}{2}y^m\alpha_mX^l_j\alpha_l-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^j}X^kX^l_k\alpha_l+\frac{1}{2}EX^l_jX^m_l\alpha_m\right)\tag{24}$$

and we have similar expressions coming from the terms

$$X^kX^m_{lk}\alpha_m=\frac{1}{E}\left(-\frac{3}{2}y^m\alpha_mX^t_l\alpha_t-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^l}X^kX^t_k\alpha_t+\frac{1}{2}EX^t_lX^m_t\alpha_m\right)$$

and

$$X^kX^s_{ik}\alpha_s=\frac{1}{E}\left(-\frac{3}{2}y^m\alpha_mX^l_i\alpha_l-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i}X^kX^l_k\alpha_l+\frac{1}{2}EX^l_iX^m_l\alpha_m\right).$$

For the last indicated term

$$EX^jX^l_{ij}X^t_l\alpha_t \overset{(17)}{=}$$

$$X^j\left(y^m\alpha_m\mathcal{C}^l_{ij}-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i}X^l_j-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^j}X^l_i-\frac{1}{2}g_{sj}X^s_iy^l-EX^s_i\mathcal{C}^l_{js}-E\mathcal{C}^l_{is}X^s_j+EX^l_m\mathcal{C}^m_{ij}\right)X^t_l\alpha_t \overset{(9)}{=}$$

$$y^m\alpha_m\left(-\frac{1}{2}X^l_i\right)X^t_l\alpha_t-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i}X^jX^l_jX^t_l\alpha_t-\frac{1}{2}y^m\alpha_mX^l_iX^t_l\alpha_t-\frac{1}{2}\alpha_sX^s_iy^lX^t_l\alpha_t-$$

$$EX^s_i\left(-\frac{1}{2}X^l_s\right)X^t_l\alpha_t-EX^j\mathcal{C}^l_{is}X^s_jX^t_l\alpha_t+EX^l_m\left(-\frac{1}{2}X^m_i\right)X^t_l\alpha_t$$

because of

$$\frac{1}{2}X^j\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^j}X^l_i\overset{(5)}{=}\frac{1}{2}g^{jm}\alpha_m\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^j}X^l_i=\frac{1}{2}y^m\alpha_mX^l_i\ \text{ and }\ y^lX^t_l=0;$$

see (9) and the basic property $y^l\mathcal{C}_{ijl}=0$ of the first Cartan tensor. Therefore

$$EX^jX^l_{ij}X^t_l\alpha_t=-y^m\alpha_mX^l_iX^t_l\alpha_t-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i}X^jX^l_jX^t_l\alpha_t-EX^j\mathcal{C}^l_{is}X^s_jX^t_l\alpha_t$$

and we have by substituting the expressions of $X^kX^l_{jk}\alpha_l$ (see (24)), $X^kX^m_{lk}\alpha_m$, $X^kX^s_{ik}\alpha_s$ and $EX^jX^l_{ij}X^t_l\alpha_t$ in formula (23)

$$X^k\frac{\partial}{\partial y^k}\left(X^jB^l_{ij}\alpha_l\right)=\frac{1}{2}(X^kX^j_k\alpha_j)\alpha_i-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i}X^jX^l_jX^t_l\alpha_t+\frac{1}{2}X^l\alpha_lX^s_i\alpha_s-\frac{1}{2}y^m\alpha_mX^l_iX^t_l\alpha_t-$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i}\left(-\frac{2}{E}y^m\alpha_mX^jX^l_j\alpha_l+\frac{1}{2}X^jX^l_jX^m_l\alpha_m\right)+$$

$$\frac{1}{2E}y^l\alpha_l\left(-\frac{3}{2}y^m\alpha_mX^l_i\alpha_l-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i}X^t_jX^j\alpha_t+\frac{1}{2}EX^l_iX^m_l\alpha_m\right)-$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(-\frac{3}{2}y^m\alpha_mX^t_l\alpha_tX^l_i+\frac{1}{2}EX^l_iX^t_lX^m_t\alpha_m\right)-$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(-y^m\alpha_mX^l_iX^t_l\alpha_t-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i}X^jX^l_jX^t_l\alpha_t-EX^j\mathcal{C}^l_{is}X^s_jX^t_l\alpha_t\right).$$

Now the formula has been free from the second order terms containing X^l_{ij}. On the other hand

$$X^kX^j_k\alpha_lB^l_{ij}\overset{(18)}{=}X^kX^j_k\left(2\alpha_i\alpha_j-g_{ij}X^l\alpha_l-\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i}X^l_j\alpha_l-\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^j}X^l_i\alpha_l-EX^l_{ij}\alpha_l\right).$$

To set the formula free from X^l_{ij} we use formula (16):

$$EX^l_{ij}\alpha_l=y^m\alpha_m\underline{\mathcal{C}^l_{ij}\alpha_l}-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i}X^l_j\alpha_l-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^j}X^l_i\alpha_l-\frac{1}{2}\underline{g_{sj}X^s_i}y^l\alpha_l-EX^s_i\mathcal{C}^l_{js}\alpha_l-E\mathcal{C}^l_{is}X^s_j\alpha_l+$$

$$EX^l_m\mathcal{C}^m_{ij}\alpha_l\overset{(10)}{=}-\underline{g_{js}X^s_i}y^m\alpha_m-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i}X^l_j\alpha_l-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^j}X^l_i\alpha_l+\frac{1}{2}EX^s_ig_{jm}X^m_s+\frac{1}{2}Eg_{sm}X^m_iX^s_j+$$

$$EX^l_m\mathcal{C}^m_{ij}\alpha_l$$

and, consequently,

$$X^kX^j_k\alpha_lB^l_{ij}=X^kX^j_k\left(2\alpha_i\alpha_j-g_{ij}X^l\alpha_l-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i}X^l_j\alpha_l-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^j}X^l_i\alpha_l\right)+$$

$$X^kX^j_k\left(g_{js}X^s_iy^m\alpha_m-\frac{1}{2}EX^s_ig_{jm}X^m_s-\frac{1}{2}Eg_{sm}X^m_iX^s_j-EX^l_m\mathcal{C}^m_{ij}\alpha_l\right)=$$

$$2X^kX^j_k\alpha_i\alpha_j-g_{ij}X^kX^j_kX^l\alpha_l-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i}X^kX^j_kX^l_j\alpha_l+g_{js}X^kX^j_kX^s_iy^m\alpha_m-$$

$$\frac{1}{2}EX_i^s g_{jm}X^k X_k^j X_s^m - \frac{1}{2}Eg_{sm}X_i^m X^k X_k^j X_j^s - EX_m^l \mathcal{C}_{ij}^m X^k X_k^j \alpha_l$$

because of

$$X^k X_k^j \frac{\partial E}{\partial y^j} = -2X^k X^t \mathcal{C}_{tk}^j \frac{\partial E}{\partial y^j} = -2X^k X^t y^m \mathcal{C}_{mtk} = 0.$$

Using the symmetry property

$$EX_i^s g_{jm}X^k X_k^j X_s^m \overset{(10)}{=} EX_i^s g_{sm}X^k X_k^j X_j^m$$

it follows that

$$X^k X_k^j \alpha_l B_{ij}^l = 2X^k X_k^j \alpha_i \alpha_j - g_{ij}X^k X_k^j X^l \alpha_l - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i}X^k X_k^j X_j^l \alpha_l + g_{js}X^k X_k^j X_i^s y^m \alpha_m -$$

$$EX_i^s g_{jm}X^k X_k^j X_s^m - EX_m^l \mathcal{C}_{ij}^m X^k X_k^j \alpha_l \overset{(10)}{=}$$

$$2X^k X_k^j \alpha_i \alpha_j - g_{kj}X^k X_i^j X^l \alpha_l - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i}X^k X_k^j X_j^l \alpha_l + g_{jk}X^k X_s^j X_i^s y^m \alpha_m -$$

$$EX_i^s g_{jk}X^k X_m^j X_s^m - EX_m^l \mathcal{C}_{ij}^m X^k X_k^j \alpha_l =$$

$$2X^k X_k^j \alpha_i \alpha_j - X_i^j \alpha_j X^l \alpha_l - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i}X^k X_k^j X_j^l \alpha_l + X_s^j \alpha_j X_i^s y^m \alpha_m -$$

$$EX_i^s X_m^j \alpha_j X_s^m - EX_m^l \mathcal{C}_{ij}^m X^k X_k^j \alpha_l.$$

Finally

$$X^k \frac{\partial}{\partial y^k}\left(X^j B_{ij}^l \alpha_l\right) - X^k X_k^j \alpha_l B_{ij}^l = -\frac{3}{2}X^k X_k^j \alpha_j \alpha_i + \frac{3}{4E}y^m \alpha_m X_j^t X^j \alpha_t \frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i} + \frac{3}{2}X^l \alpha_l X_i^s \alpha_s -$$

$$\frac{3}{4E}(y^m \alpha_m)^2 X_i^l \alpha_l + \frac{3}{2}EX^j \mathcal{C}_{is}^l X_j^s X_l^t \alpha_t + \frac{3}{4}EX_i^s X_m^j \alpha_j X_s^m,$$

where

$$\frac{3}{4}EX_i^s X_m^j \alpha_j X_s^m \overset{(9)}{=} -\frac{3}{2}EX^t \mathcal{C}_{it}^s X_m^j X_s^m \alpha_j.$$

Therefore

$$X^k \frac{\partial}{\partial y^k}\left(X^j B_{ij}^l \alpha_l\right) - X^k X_k^j \alpha_l B_{ij}^l = -\frac{3}{2}X^k X_k^j \alpha_j \alpha_i + \frac{3}{4E}y^m \alpha_m X_j^t X^j \alpha_t \frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i} + \frac{3}{2}X^l \alpha_l X_i^s \alpha_s -$$

$$\frac{3}{4E}(y^m \alpha_m)^2 X_i^l \alpha_l + \frac{3}{2}E\left(X^j \mathcal{C}_{is}^l X_j^s X_l^t \alpha_t - X^t \mathcal{C}_{it}^s X_m^j X_s^m \alpha_j\right),$$

where

$$X^j \mathcal{C}_{is}^l X_j^s X_l^t \alpha_t - X^t \mathcal{C}_{it}^s X_m^j X_s^m \alpha_j = 2X^p X^j X_j^s X^r Q_{pisr}$$

as a straightforward computation shows[4]. □

[4]

$$X^j \mathcal{C}_{is}^l X_j^s X_l^t \alpha_t - X^t \mathcal{C}_{it}^s X_m^j X_s^m \alpha_j \overset{(5)}{=} X^j \mathcal{C}_{is}^l X_j^s X_l^t \alpha_t - X^t \mathcal{C}_{it}^s X_m^j X_s^m g_{jr} X^r \overset{(10)}{=}$$

$$X^j \mathcal{C}_{is}^l X_j^s X_l^t \alpha_t - X^t \mathcal{C}_{it}^s X_r^j X_s^m g_{jm} X^r \overset{(10)}{=} X^j \mathcal{C}_{is}^l X_j^s X_l^t \alpha_t - X^t \mathcal{C}_{it}^s X_r^j X_j^m g_{ms} X^r =$$

$$X^j \mathcal{C}_{is}^l X_j^s X_l^t \alpha_t - X^t \mathcal{C}_{mit} X_r^j X_j^m X^r = X^j X_j^s \left(\mathcal{C}_{is}^l X_l^t \alpha_t - X^t \mathcal{C}_{mit} X_s^m\right) \overset{(5)}{=} X^j X_j^s \left(\mathcal{C}_{is}^l X_l^t \alpha_t - g^{tr}\alpha_r \mathcal{C}_{mit} X_s^m\right) =$$

$$X^j X_j^s \left(\mathcal{C}_{is}^l X_l^t \alpha_t - \alpha_r \mathcal{C}_{mi}^r X_s^m\right) = X^j X_j^s \left(\mathcal{C}_{is}^l X_l^t - \mathcal{C}_{mi}^t X_s^m\right) \alpha_t \overset{(9)}{=} X^j X_j^s \left(\mathcal{C}_{is}^l \left(-2X^p \mathcal{C}_{pl}^t\right) - \mathcal{C}_{mi}^t \left(-2X^p \mathcal{C}_{ps}^m\right)\right) \alpha_t =$$

$$-2X^p X^j X_j^s \left(\mathcal{C}_{is}^l \mathcal{C}_{pl}^t - \mathcal{C}_{mi}^t \mathcal{C}_{ps}^m\right) \alpha_t = -2X^p X^j X_j^s Q_{ips}^t \alpha_t = -2X^p X^j X_j^s Q_{ips}^t X^r g_{rt} = -2X^p X^j X_j^s X^r Q_{ipsr} =$$

$$2X^p X^j X_j^s X^r Q_{pisr} \quad \text{because of} \quad Q_{ipsr} = -Q_{pisr}.$$

Corollary 3.

$$\frac{1}{3}X^i_m X^m X^k X^j B^l_{ijk}\alpha_l = \frac{1}{2}\left(X^i_m X^m X^s_i \alpha_s \left(X^l\alpha_l - \frac{(y^m\alpha_m)^2}{2E}\right) - \left(X^k X^j_k \alpha_j\right)^2\right) + EX^j X^s_j X^p X^r X^i_m X^m Q_{pisr},$$

where

$$X^i_m X^m X^s_i \alpha_s \left(X^l\alpha_l - \frac{(y^m\alpha_m)^2}{2E}\right) - \left(X^k X^j_k \alpha_j\right)^2 = \textit{the Gram determinant of the vector fields}$$

$$X^l\frac{\partial}{\partial y^l} - \frac{y^m\alpha_m}{2E}y^l\frac{\partial}{\partial y^l} \quad \textit{and} \quad X^s X^l_s \frac{\partial}{\partial y^l} \quad \textit{with respect to the Riemann-Finsler metric } g_{ij}.$$

4. Special conformal relationships II

From now on we suppose that the mixed curvature of the Berwald connection satisfies the invariance property

$$\tilde{P}^l_{ijk}\alpha_l = P^l_{ijk}\alpha_l$$

under the conformal change $\tilde{F} = e^\alpha F$. Using subsection 1.6 this implies the invariance property

$$\tilde{P}^l_{ij}\alpha_l = P^l_{ij}\alpha_l$$

of the Landsberg tensor too. Since

$$\tilde{P}^l_{ijk}\alpha_l = P^l_{ijk}\alpha_l \quad \Rightarrow \quad B^l_{ijk}\alpha_l = 0,$$

where $B^l_{ijk} = \tilde{G}^l_{ijk} - G^l_{ijk}$ is the difference tensor of the mixed curvatures, as a direct consequence of Corollary 3, we have

$$0 = \frac{1}{2E}\left(X^i_m X^m X^s_i \alpha_s \left(X^l\alpha_l - \frac{(y^m\alpha_m)^2}{2E}\right) - \left(X^k X^j_k \alpha_j\right)^2\right) + X^j X^s_j X^p X^r X^i_m X^m Q_{pisr}.$$

This means that if the tangent vector $v \in T_pM$ is of Finslerian length 1 then

$$F^2(v) = 2E(v) = 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad 0 = 1 + \frac{X^j X^s_j X^p X^r X^i_m X^m Q_{pisr}}{\left(X^i_m X^m X^s_i \alpha_s \left(X^l\alpha_l - \frac{(y^m\alpha_m)^2}{2E}\right) - \left(X^k X^j_k \alpha_j\right)^2\right)}(v),$$

provided that we can divide by the Gram determinant. This is just the Gauss equation for the curvature of the indicatrix as a Riemannian submanifold[5]. To sum up: *If the Gram determinant is not identically zero than we have zero sectional curvature of the indicatrix hypersurface* and the following theorem can be formulated.

Theorem 1. *Let M be a manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$ equipped with the Finslerian metric function F and consider a function $\alpha\colon M \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the regularity condition $d_p\alpha \neq 0$ at a point $p \in M$. If the sectional curvature of the indicatrix hypersurface at p is positive and*

$$\tilde{P}^l_{ijk}\alpha_l = P^l_{ijk}\alpha_l$$

under the conformal change $\tilde{F} = e^\alpha F$, where α_l denotes the partial derivatives of α (depending only on the position), then the Gram determinant of the vector fields

$$X^l\frac{\partial}{\partial y^l} - \frac{y^m\alpha_m}{2E}y^l\frac{\partial}{\partial y^l} \quad \textit{and} \quad X^s X^l_s \frac{\partial}{\partial y^l} \quad \textit{with respect to the Riemann-Finsler metric } g_{ij}$$

must be zero and the vector fields are linearly dependent at all non-zero elements $v \in T_pM$.

[5]The Liouville vector field is the outer unit normal of the indicatrix with respect to g_{ij}. Since the components $\mathcal{C}^k_{ij}$ of the first Cartan tensor are the parameters of the Lévi-Civita connection and $y^j\mathcal{C}^k_{ij} = 0$ it follows that the shape operator is working as the identity map and the normal curvature is constant 1.

Theorem 1 corresponds to Lemma 6 in [16] (page 28).

4.1. **The associated Riemannian metric.** The Riemannian metric is derived from the conformal invariance property of the mixed curvature:

$$\tilde{P}^l_{ijk}\alpha_l = P^l_{ijk}\alpha_l \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{\partial^3 \tilde{G}^l}{\partial y^i \partial y^j \partial y^k}\alpha_l = \frac{\partial^3 G^l}{\partial y^i \partial y^j \partial y^k}\alpha_l$$

which means that the difference $G^l\alpha_l - \tilde{G}^l\alpha_l$ is quadratic in the tangent spaces. Therefore

$$E_* := EX^l\alpha_l \overset{(6)}{=} \left(G^l - \tilde{G}^l\right)\alpha_l + (y^m\alpha_m)^2 \text{ is quadratic and } E_* \overset{(5)}{=} EX^l g_{rl}X^r > 0. \tag{25}$$

Using the Riemannian energy function E_* we will pay our attention to the associated Riemannian objects such as

$$g^*_{ij} = \frac{\partial E_*}{\partial y^i \partial y^j} \text{ (Riemannian metric)}, \quad g^{ij}_* = (g^*_{ij})^{-1}, \quad X^l_* = g^{lk}_*\alpha_k \text{ (Riemanian gradient)}.$$

Note that both g^*_{ij} and its inverse depend only on the position, cf. Lemma 7 in [16] (page 28).

4.2. **The second basic step: a Ricatti-type differential equation.** The following theorem is the key result to conclude a differential equation for the Finslerian energy E along the lines

$$c(t) = v + tX_*(p), \quad \text{where} \quad v \in T_pM \quad \text{and} \quad v(\alpha) = 0.$$

The proof will be presented in section 4.3.

Theorem 2. *The vector fields*

$$X^l\frac{\partial}{\partial y^l} \text{ (the Riemann-Finsler gradient)}, \quad X^l_*\frac{\partial}{\partial y^l} \text{ (the "vertically lifted" Riemannian gradient)}$$

$$\text{and the Liouville vector field } C = y^l\frac{\partial}{\partial y^l}$$

form a linearly dependent system at all non-zero elements $v \in T_pM$, i.e. its Gram-determinant with respect to the metric g_{ij} vanishes.

Theorem 2 corresponds to Lemma 9 in [16] (page 30). Using that the Gram-determinant of the vector fields vanishes we have:

$$0 = \det\begin{pmatrix} X^lX^kg_{lk} & X^lX^k_*g_{lk} & X^ly^kg_{lk} \\ X^lX^k_*g_{lk} & X^l_*X^k_*g_{lk} & X^l_*y^kg_{lk} \\ X^ly^kg_{lk} & X^l_*y^kg_{lk} & y^ly^kg_{kl} \end{pmatrix} = \det\begin{pmatrix} X^k\alpha_k & X^k_*\alpha_k & y^m\alpha_m \\ X^k_*\alpha_k & X^l_*X^k_*\dfrac{\partial^2 E}{\partial y^k \partial y^l} & X^k_*\dfrac{\partial E}{\partial y^k} \\ y^m\alpha_m & X^k_*\dfrac{\partial E}{\partial y^k} & 2E \end{pmatrix} = \tag{26}$$

$$X^k\alpha_k\left(2EX^l_*X^k_*\frac{\partial^2 E}{\partial y^k \partial y^l} - \left(X^k_*\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^k}\right)^2\right) - X^k_*\alpha_k\left(2EX^k_*\alpha_k - (y^m\alpha_m)X^k_*\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^k}\right) +$$

$$y^m\alpha_m\left((X^k_*\alpha_k)X^l_*\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^l} - (y^m\alpha_m)X^l_*X^k_*\frac{\partial^2 E}{\partial y^k \partial y^l}\right) \quad \text{at all non-zero elements} \quad v \in T_pM.$$

On the other hand

$$EX^k\alpha_k = E_* \text{ (Riemannian energy)} \quad \Rightarrow \quad X^k\alpha_k = \frac{E_*}{E}$$

and the evaluation of the Gram-determinant along the line[6]

$$c(t) = v + tX_*(p), \quad \text{where} \quad v \in T_pM \quad \text{and} \quad v(\alpha) = 0,$$

gives the differential equation

$$0 = 2E_*(c(t))(E\circ c)''(t) - E_*(c(t))\frac{\left((E\circ c)'(t)\right)^2}{(E\circ c)(t)} - 2(X_*^k\alpha_k)^2(c(t))(E\circ c)(t) +$$
$$2(X_*^k\alpha_k)(c(t))(y^m\alpha_m)(c(t))(E\circ c)'(t) - (y^m\alpha_m)^2(c(t))(E\circ c)''(t).$$

Using the abbreviations

- $a^2 = 2E_*(v)$ the Riemannian norm square of the starting position $v \in T_pM$, where $v(\alpha) = 0$,
- $b^2 = 2E_*(X_*(p))$ the Riemannian norm square of the Riemannian gradient vector field X_* at the point p,
- $y(t) = E \circ c(t)$

we have that

- $2E_*(c(t)) = a^2 + t^2b^2$,
- $(X_*^k\alpha_k)(c(t)) = (X_*^k\alpha_k)(p) = (g_*^{kl}\alpha_k\alpha_l)(p) = b^2$,
- $(y^m\alpha_m)(c(t)) = v(\alpha) + t(X_*^m\alpha_m)(p) = tb^2 \quad$ because of $\; v(\alpha) = 0$

and the differential equation can be written into the following form

$$0 = \left(a^2 + t^2b^2(1-b^2)\right)y(t)y''(t) - \frac{1}{2}(a^2 + t^2b^2)(y')^2(t) + 2tb^4y(t)y'(t) - 2b^4y^2(t). \tag{27}$$

Using the substitution

$$z(t) = \frac{y'}{y}(t)$$

we obtain a Riccati-type differential equation

$$0 = \left(a^2 + t^2b^2(1-b^2)\right)z'(t) + \frac{1}{2}(a^2 + t^2b^2(1-2b^2))z^2(t) + 2tb^4z(t) - 2b^4. \tag{28}$$

4.3. **The proof of Theorem 2.** In order to prove Theorem 2 we need the relationship between the Riemannian metric g^*_{ij} and the Riemann-Finsler metric g_{ij}. According to Theorem 1 we can use that the projected vector field

$$X^l\frac{\partial}{\partial y^l} - \frac{y^m\alpha_m}{2E}y^l\frac{\partial}{\partial y^l} \tag{29}$$

and the vector field

$$X^sX^l_s\frac{\partial}{\partial y^l} \tag{30}$$

are linearly dependent at all non-zero elements $v \in T_pM$. An easy calculation shows that the Riemann-Finsler norm square of the projected vector field (29) is

$$\left(X^l - \frac{y^m\alpha_m}{2E}y^l\right)\left(X^k - \frac{y^m\alpha_m}{2E}y^k\right)g_{kl} = \left(X^l - \frac{y^m\alpha_m}{2E}y^l\right)\left(\alpha_l - \frac{y^m\alpha_m}{2E}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^l}\right) =$$
$$X^l\alpha_l - \frac{(y^m\alpha_m)^2}{2E}.$$

Therefore

$$0 \le X^l\alpha_l - \frac{(y^m\alpha_m)^2}{2E} \quad \Rightarrow \quad 0 \le 2EX^l\alpha_l - (y^m\alpha_m)^2 = 2E_* - (y^m\alpha_m)^2 \quad \Rightarrow$$

[6]It is the integral curve of the *vertically lifted Riemannian gradient* vector field $X^l_*\frac{\partial}{\partial y^k}$ starting from v in T_pM; note that the quantities X^l_*'s depend only on the position.

$$\frac{(y^m\alpha_m)^2}{2E_*} \le 1. \tag{31}$$

The left hand side is homogeneous of degree 0 and it attains its maximum at the (Riemannian) unit vector parallel to the (Riemannian) gradient $X_*(p)$. Since the Riemannian norm square of the Riemannian gradient vector field X_* at the point p is

$$(X_*^m\alpha_m)(p) = 2E_*(X_*(p)) \quad \text{and} \quad 2E_* = F_*^2$$

inequality (31) shows that

$$b^2 := 2E_*(X_*(p)) \le 1$$

and the equality

$$0 = 2E_*(v) - (y^m\alpha_m)^2(v)$$

occours (i.e. the projected vector field vanishes at some nonzero element $v \in T_pM$) if and only if

$$\frac{y^m\alpha_m}{F_*}(X_*(p)) = \sup_{v\neq\mathbf{0}} \frac{y^m\alpha_m}{F_*}(v) = 1.$$

Lemma 2. (The zeros of the projected vector field) *The projected vector field* (29)

- *does not vanish at any $v \neq \pm X_*(p)$ in T_pM,*
- *vanishes at $\pm X_*(p)$ if and only if $b^2 := 2E_*(X_*(p)) = 1$, i.e. the Riemannian gradient X_* is of unit Riemannian lenght at the point p.*

The result corresponds to Lemma 8 in [16] (page 30). Using Theorem 1 and Lemma 2 we can write that

$$X_s^l X^s = \theta\left(X^l - \frac{y^m\alpha_m}{2E}y^l\right) \quad \text{for all} \quad v \in T_pM \setminus \{\pm X_*(p)\}. \tag{32}$$

According to the distinguished role of the projected vector field let us introduce the abbreviations

- $\eta^l = X^l - \dfrac{y^m\alpha_m}{2E}y^l \quad \Rightarrow \quad \eta := \eta^l\alpha_l = X^l\alpha_l - \dfrac{(y^m\alpha_m)^2}{2E}.$

From (32)

$$X_s^l X^s\alpha_l = \theta\left(X^l\alpha_l - \frac{(y^m\alpha_m)^2}{2E}\right). \tag{33}$$

Differentiating the left hand side by y's

$$\frac{\partial X_s^l X^s}{\partial y^j}\alpha_l = X_{js}^l X^s\alpha_l + X_s^l X_j^s\alpha_l \overset{(24)}{=} -\frac{1}{2E}\left(3y^m\alpha_m X_j^l\alpha_l + \frac{\partial E}{\partial y^j}X^k X_k^l\alpha_l - EX_j^l X_l^m\alpha_m\right) + X_s^l X_j^s\alpha_l =$$

$$-\frac{1}{2E}\left(3y^m\alpha_m X_j^l\alpha_l + \frac{\partial E}{\partial y^j}X^k X_k^l\alpha_l\right) + \frac{3}{2}X_s^l X_j^s\alpha_l.$$

By the symmetry property

$$X_j^l\alpha_l = X_j^l g_{lr}X^r \overset{(10)}{=} X_r^l g_{lj}X^r \overset{(32)}{=} \theta\left(\alpha_j - \frac{y^m\alpha_m}{2E}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^j}\right). \tag{34}$$

In a similar way,

$$X^k X_k^l\alpha_l = X^k\theta\left(\alpha_k - \frac{y^m\alpha_m}{2E}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^k}\right) = \theta\left(X^k\alpha_k - \frac{(y^m\alpha_m)^2}{2E}\right) = \theta\eta$$

because of

$$X^k\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^k} = g^{kr}\alpha_r\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^k} = y^r\alpha_r$$

and

$$X_s^l X_j^s\alpha_l \overset{(34)}{=} \theta\left(\alpha_s - \frac{y^m\alpha_m}{2E}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^s}\right)X_j^s = \theta\alpha_s X_j^s$$

because of

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^s}X^s_j \overset{(9)}{=} -2X^t\mathcal{C}^s_{tj}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^s} = -2X^t g^{sr}\mathcal{C}_{tjr}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^s} = -2X^t y^r \mathcal{C}_{tjr} = 0.$$

Therefore

$$X^l_s X^s_j \alpha_l = \theta\alpha_s X^s_j \overset{(34)}{=} \theta^2\left(\alpha_j - \frac{y^m\alpha_m}{2E}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^j}\right). \tag{35}$$

Using the previous formulas

$$\frac{\partial X^l_s X^s}{\partial y^j}\alpha_l = -\frac{1}{2E}\left(3y^m\alpha_m\theta\alpha_j + \left(\theta\eta - 3\theta\frac{(y^m\alpha_m)^2}{2E}\right)\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^j}\right) + \frac{3}{2}\theta^2\left(\alpha_j - \frac{y^m\alpha_m}{2E}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^j}\right). \tag{36}$$

Now we are going to differentiate the right hand side of (33):

$$\frac{\partial\theta}{\partial y^j}\left(X^l\alpha_l - \frac{(y^m\alpha_m)^2}{2E}\right) + \theta\left(X^l_j\alpha_l - \frac{4E(y^m\alpha_m)\alpha_j - 2(y^m\alpha_m)^2\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^j}}{(2E)^2}\right) = \tag{37}$$

$$\frac{\partial\theta}{\partial y^j}\left(X^l\alpha_l - \frac{(y^m\alpha_m)^2}{2E}\right) + \theta\left(\theta\left(\alpha_j - \frac{y^m\alpha_m}{2E}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^j}\right) - \frac{4E(y^m\alpha_m)\alpha_j - 2(y^m\alpha_m)^2\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^j}}{(2E)^2}\right) =$$

$$\frac{\partial\theta}{\partial y^j}\eta + \left(\theta^2 - \theta\frac{y^m\alpha_m}{E}\right)\alpha_j - \left(\theta^2\frac{y^m\alpha_m}{2E} - 2\theta\frac{(y^m\alpha_m)^2}{(2E)^2}\right)\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^j}.$$

Comparing the partial derivatives (36) and (37) it follows that

$$\frac{\partial\theta}{\partial y^j}\eta = \left(-\theta\frac{\eta}{2E} + \theta\frac{(y^m\alpha_m)^2}{(2E)^2} - \frac{1}{2}\theta^2\frac{y^m\alpha_m}{2E}\right)\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^j} + \left(\frac{1}{2}\theta^2 - \frac{1}{2}\theta\frac{y^m\alpha_m}{E}\right)\alpha_j. \tag{38}$$

Now we are in the position to compute a detailed relationship between the Riemannian metric g^*_{ij} and the Riemann-Finsler metric g_{ij} as follows: $E_* = EX^l\alpha_l \;\Rightarrow$

$$g^*_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 E_*}{\partial y^i\partial y^j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j}\left(\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i}X^l\alpha_l + EX^l_i\alpha_l\right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j}\left(\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i}X^l\alpha_l + E\theta\left(\alpha_i - \frac{y^m\alpha_m}{2E}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i}\right)\right) \overset{(34)}{=}$$

$$g_{ij}X^l\alpha_l + \frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i}\theta\left(\alpha_j - \frac{y^m\alpha_m}{2E}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^j}\right) + \frac{\partial E}{\partial y^j}\theta\left(\alpha_i - \frac{y^m\alpha_m}{2E}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i}\right) +$$

$$E\frac{\partial\theta}{\partial y^j}\left(\alpha_i - \frac{y^m\alpha_m}{2E}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i}\right) - E\theta\frac{y^m\alpha_m}{2E}g_{ij} -$$

$$E\theta\frac{2E\alpha_j - 2(y^m\alpha_m)\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^j}}{(2E)^2}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i}.$$

Using (38) a straightforward calculation shows that

$$g^*_{ij} = \left(X^l\alpha_l - \theta\frac{y^m\alpha_m}{2}\right)g_{ij} + \theta\frac{y^m\alpha_m}{4E}\left(\frac{y^m\alpha_m}{2\eta}\left(\theta - \frac{y^m\alpha_m}{E}\right) - 1\right)\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^j} + \tag{39}$$

$$\theta\frac{E}{2\eta}\left(\theta - \frac{y^m\alpha_m}{E}\right)\alpha_i\alpha_j + \frac{\theta}{2}\left(1 + \frac{(y^m\alpha_m)^2}{2E\eta} - \theta\frac{y^m\alpha_m}{2\eta}\right)\left(\alpha_i\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^j} + \alpha_j\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i}\right).$$

Therefore

$$g^*_{ij} \in \mathcal{L}\left(g_{ij}, \frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^j}, \alpha_i\alpha_j, \alpha_i\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^j} + \alpha_j\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i}\right), \tag{40}$$

where the linear combination contains functions as coefficients of the symmetric terms

$$g_{ij},\quad \frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^j},\quad \alpha_i\alpha_j,\quad \alpha_i\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^j} + \alpha_j\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i}.$$

Formula (40) implies the linear dependence of the Riemannian gradient X_*, the Riemann-Finsler gradient X and the Liouville vector field C because of

$$\alpha_j = X^i_* g^*_{ij} \in \mathcal{L}\left(X^i_* g_{ij}, X^i_* \frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^j}, X^i_* \alpha_i \alpha_j, X^i_*\left(\alpha_i \frac{\partial E}{\partial y^j} + \alpha_j \frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i}\right)\right) \quad \Rightarrow$$

$$X^l = g^{lj}\alpha_j \in \mathcal{L}\left(X^l_*, X^i_* \frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i} y^l, X^i_* \alpha_i X^l, X^i_* \alpha_i y^l + X^i_* \frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i} X^l\right)$$

as was to be proved.

4.4. **What about the constant b^2?** The solution of differential equation (28) seems to be hard in general. In what follows we present an essential simplification in case of dimension $n \geq 3$. The result corresponds to Lemma 10 in [16] (page 32). Keeping the singular solutions in mind note that the forthcoming argument can be also used under some y - locality:

(RP) the Finslerian energy function E is of class $\mathcal{C}^2$ at $y = X_*(p)$.

Theorem 3. $b^2 := 2E_*(X_*(p)) = 1$.

Proof. Suppose now that $b^2 < 1$. Lemma 2 shows that the projected vector field (29) has no zeros, i.e. its Finslerian norm square is strictly positive:

$$\eta = X^l \alpha_l - \frac{(y^m \alpha_m)^2}{2E} > 0.$$

By (32)

$$\theta = \frac{X^l_s X^s \alpha_l}{\eta} = \frac{1}{\eta}\frac{\partial X^l \alpha_l}{\partial y^s} X^s.$$

This means[7] that θ is of class $\mathcal{C}^1$ at $X_*(p)$ because of $X^l\alpha_l = E_*/E$ and property (RP). In what follows we will use equation (39) at $v := X_*(p)$. For the sake of simplicity let us introduce the following abbreviations

- $A := \left(X^l\alpha_l - \theta\frac{y^m\alpha_m}{2}\right)$,
- $P := \theta\frac{y^m\alpha_m}{4E}\left(\frac{y^m\alpha_m}{2\eta}\left(\theta - \frac{y^m\alpha_m}{E}\right) - 1\right)$,
- $R := \theta\frac{E}{2\eta}\left(\theta - \frac{y^m\alpha_m}{E}\right)$,
- $Q := \frac{\theta}{2}\left(1 + \frac{(y^m\alpha_m)^2}{2E\eta} - \theta\frac{y^m\alpha_m}{2\eta}\right)$

for the coefficients in formula (39):

$$g^*_{ij} = Ag_{ij} + P\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^j} + R\alpha_i\alpha_j + Q\left(\alpha_i\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^j} + \alpha_j\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i}\right);$$

see the notations in our main reference work [16], page 32, formula (43). An easy computation shows that

$$2EP + y^m\alpha_m Q = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 2EQ + Ry^m\alpha_m = E\theta. \tag{41}$$

[7]Note that (RP) does not imply automatically that X^l_s and the further y - derivatives exist at $X_*(p)$ but we can introduce the contracted terms $X^l_s\alpha_l$ or $X^l_{js}\alpha_l$ up to order 2 by the formulas

$$X^l_s\alpha_l = \frac{\partial X^l\alpha_l}{\partial y^s} \quad \text{and} \quad X^l_{js}\alpha_l = \frac{\partial^2 X^l\alpha_l}{\partial y^j \partial y^s}$$

because of $X^l\alpha_l = E_*/E$ and property (RP).

We have

$$X^k = g^{kj}\alpha_j = g^{kj}X^i_* g^*_{ij} \overset{(39)}{=} AX^k_* + \left(PX^i_*\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i} + QX^i_*\alpha_i\right)y^k +$$

$$\left(QX^i_*\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i} + RX^i_*\alpha_i\right)X^k.$$

Evaluating both side at $v := X_*(p)$:

$$(1 - E\theta)\,(v)X^k(v) = A(v)v^k \quad (k = 1, \ldots, n) \tag{42}$$

because of (41) and the homogenity property

$$v^i\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i}(v) = 2E(v).$$

Observe that $A(v) = 0$ contradicts to equation (39). Indeed, **since the dimension is at least** 3 we can choose a tangent vector $w \in T_pM$ to eliminate each term of

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^j}, \quad \alpha_i\alpha_j \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_i\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^j} + \alpha_j\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i}$$

at $v \in T_pM$. Therefore

$$w^i w^j g^*_{ij}(p) = A(v)w^i w^j g_{ij}(v).$$

This means that the main coefficient $A(v)$ must be positive because the Riemannian metric g^*_{ij} (depending only on the position) is positive definite. Since $A(v) \neq 0$ we have by (42) that $(1 - E\theta)\,(v) \neq 0$ and

$$X^k(v) := \frac{A(v)}{(1 - E\theta)\,(v)}v^k \quad (k = 1, \ldots, n) \quad \Rightarrow \quad X(v) \mid\mid C(v),$$

i.e. the projected vector field (29) vanishes at $v := X_*(p)$ which contradicts to $b^2 < 1$ in the sense of Lemma 2. □

4.5. **The initial condition.**

Theorem 4. $\dfrac{y'(0)}{y(0)} = \dfrac{K(p)}{F_*(v)}$ *for some real constant* $K(p)$.

In what follows **we use again that the dimension is at least** 3. This means that the linear subspace

$$T_pN := \{v \in T_pM \mid v(\alpha) = 0\} \tag{43}$$

is of dimension at least 2 and $T_pN \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ is connected.

Theorem 5. *Both* $X^l\alpha_l(v)$ *and* $(FX^l_sX^s\alpha_l)(v)$ *are independent of the choice* $v \in T_pN$.

Proof. Let N be the level hypersurface of the function α such that $p \in N$ and consider a tangent vector v at the point p. Formula (39) shows that

$$g^*_{ij}(v) = X^l(v)\alpha_l g_{ij}(v)$$

and Knebelman's theorem for conformally related Riemann-Finsler metrics says that the scale function $X^l\alpha_l$ restricted to T_pN is constant. We can get the same result by some direct calculations too: let $w \in T_pM$ be an arbitrary vector such that $w(\alpha) = 0$ (geometrically w is tangential to the level hypersurface of α passing through the point p). Then

$$w^i\frac{\partial X^l\alpha_l}{\partial y^i}(v) = w^i X^l_i(v)\alpha_l \overset{(34)}{=} \theta(v)\left(w^i\alpha_i - \frac{v^m\alpha_m}{2E(v)}w^i\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i}(v)\right) = 0,$$

i.e. $X^l\alpha_l$ is constant along the subspace (43). On the other hand

$$w^i\frac{\partial X^l_s X^s\alpha_l}{\partial y^i}(v) = w^i X^l_{si}(v)X^s(v)\alpha_l + w^i X^l_s(v)X^s_i(v)\alpha_l,$$

where, by formula (35), the second term vanishes:

$$w^i X^l_s(v)X^s_i(v)\alpha_l = \theta^2(v)\left(w^i\alpha_i - \frac{v^m\alpha_m}{2E(v)}w^i\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i}(v)\right) = 0. \tag{44}$$

Formula (21) and (44) show that

$$w^i X^l_{si}(v)X^s(v)\alpha_l = -\frac{1}{2E(v)}w^i\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i}(v)X^l_s(v)X^s(v)\alpha_l.$$

Therefore

$$w^i\frac{\partial X^l_s X^s\alpha_l}{\partial y^i}(v) + \frac{1}{2E(v)}w^i\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i}(v)X^l_s(v)X^s(v)\alpha_l = 0 \quad \Rightarrow$$

$$F(v)w^i\frac{\partial X^l_s X^s\alpha_l}{\partial y^i}(v) + w^i\frac{\partial F}{\partial y^i}(v)X^l_s(v)X^s(v)\alpha_l = 0$$

because of $F^2 = 2E$. Finally

$$w^i\frac{\partial F X^l_s X^s\alpha_l}{\partial y^i}(v) = 0$$

as was to be proved. □

Theorem 5 corresponds to Lemma 11 in [16] (page 33). Since

$$E = \frac{E_*}{X_l\alpha_l}$$

we have that

$$y'(0) = X^i_*(p)\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i}(v) = X^i_*(p)\frac{\frac{\partial E_*}{\partial y^i}(v)(X^l\alpha_l)(v) - E_*(v)X^l_i(v)\alpha_l}{(X_l\alpha_l)^2(v)},$$

where

$$X^i_*(p)\frac{\partial E_*}{\partial y^i}(v) = g^{ij}_*(p)\alpha_j\frac{\partial E_*}{\partial y^i}(v) = (y^j\alpha_j)(v) = v^j\alpha_j = 0$$

because X_* is the Riemannian gradient of α and $v(\alpha) = 0$. Therefore

$$y'(0) = -X^i_*(p)\frac{E_*(v)X^l_i(v)\alpha_l}{(X_l\alpha_l)^2(v)} \overset{(34)}{=} -E_*(v)\theta(v)\frac{X^i_*(p)\left(\alpha_i - \frac{v^m\alpha_m}{2E(v)}\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i}(v)\right)}{(X_l\alpha_l)^2(v)} =$$

$$-E_*(v)X^i_*(p)\alpha_i\frac{\theta(v)}{(X_l\alpha_l)^2(v)} \overset{(33)}{=} -E_*(v)X^i_*(p)\alpha_i\frac{X^l_s(v)X^s(v)\alpha_l}{(X_l\alpha_l)^3(v)}$$

because of $v(\alpha) = 0$. Finally

$$y'(0) = -E_*(v)X^i_*(p)\alpha_i\frac{F(v)X^l_s(v)X^s(v)\alpha_l}{F(v)(X_l\alpha_l)^3(v)} \overset{(25)}{=}$$

$$-E_*(v)X^i_*(p)\alpha_i\frac{F(v)X^l_s(v)X^s(v)\alpha_l}{\underbrace{F(v)(X_l\alpha_l)^{1/2}(v)}_{F_*(v)}(X_l\alpha_l)^{5/2}(v)} =$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}F_*(v)X^i_*(p)\alpha_i\frac{F(v)X^l_s(v)X^s(v)\alpha_l}{(X_l\alpha_l)^{5/2}(v)} = -\frac{1}{2}F_*(v)\frac{F(v)X^l_s(v)X^s(v)\alpha_l}{(X_l\alpha_l)^{5/2}(v)}$$

because of

$$b^2 = 2E_*(X_*(p)) = X^i_*(p)\alpha_i = 1$$

(see section 4.4). On the other hand

$$y(0) = E(v) = \frac{E_*(v)}{(X_l\alpha_l)(v)}$$

and, by Theorem 5, we can write that

$$\frac{y'(0)}{y(0)} = \frac{K(p)}{F_*(v)} \tag{45}$$

for some real constant $K(p)$.

4.6. **The solution of the differential equation.** Using section 4.4 (Theorem 3) we can reduce differential equation (28) to the following simple form

$$0 = a^2 z'(t) + \frac{1}{2}(a^2 - t^2)z^2(t) + 2tz(t) - 2. \tag{46}$$

It can be directly seen that the function

$$z(t) := 2\frac{2t + K(p)F_*(v)}{2t^2 + tK(p)F_*(v) + 4E_*(v)} \tag{47}$$

is the solution of the problem satisfying

$$z(0) = \frac{K(p)}{F_*(v)}.$$

Since the solution should be defined for all real parameters we have that

$$-4 < K(p) < 4. \tag{48}$$

Integrating (47)

$$E(v + tX_*(p)) = K^*(p)\left(F_*^2(v) + K(p)F_*(v)\frac{t}{2} + t^2\right)e^{2A(v,t)}, \tag{49}$$

where

$$A(v,t) = \frac{K(p)}{\sqrt{16 - K^2(p)}}\left(\arctan\frac{1}{\sqrt{16 - K^2(p)}}\left(\frac{4t}{F_*(v)} + K(p)\right) - \arctan\frac{K(p)}{\sqrt{16 - K^2(p)}}\right).$$

5. The comparison of the metrics

Following Asanov [2] (section 2) we show that the metric (49) belongs to the class of Finsleroid-Finsler metrics up to conformality.

Theorem 6. *The metric given by formula* (49) *is conformal to a Finsleroid-Finsler metric.*

Proof. The general form of Finsleroid-Finsler metrics is given by

$$F = e^{G\Phi/2}\sqrt{b^2 + gqb + q^2}, \tag{50}$$

where

- $b = b_i(\mathbf{x})y^i$ (the Finsleroid axis 1 - form),
- $a_{ij}(\mathbf{x})y^iy^j$ (the Riemannian metric) and $a^{ij}b_ib_j = 1$,
- $q = \sqrt{r_{ij}(\mathbf{x})y^iy^j}$, where $r_{ij} = a_{ij} - b_ib_j$,
- $g = g(\mathbf{x})$ and $-2 < g(\mathbf{x}) < 2$ (the Finsleroid charge),
- $h = \sqrt{1 - \frac{g^2}{4}}$,
- $G = g/h$,

- $\Phi = \begin{cases} +\frac{\pi}{2} + \arctan\frac{G}{2} - \arctan\frac{q+\frac{g}{2}b}{hb} & \text{if } b > 0 \\ -\frac{\pi}{2} + \arctan\frac{G}{2} - \arctan\frac{q+\frac{g}{2}b}{hb} & \text{if } b < 0. \end{cases}$

The common limit of the right hand sides as $b \to 0$ is $\arctan\dfrac{G}{2}$. Let us introduce the function

$$f(b) := \arctan\frac{q+\frac{g}{2}b}{hb};$$

then

$$\lim_{b\to\pm\infty} f(b) = \arctan\frac{g}{2h} = \arctan\frac{G}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad f'(b) = -\frac{qh}{b^2+q^2+bgq} \tag{51}$$

because $h^2 + g^2/4 = 1$. In a similar way, if

$$h(b) := \arctan\frac{2b+gq}{2hq}$$

then

$$\lim_{b\to\pm\infty} h(b) = \pm\frac{\pi}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad h'(b) = \frac{qh}{b^2+q^2+bgq}. \tag{52}$$

Therefore $f + h$ is constant on the connected parts of the domain. Taking the limits $b \to \infty$ and $b \to -\infty$, respectively, we have

$$\arctan\frac{q+\frac{g}{2}b}{hb} + \arctan\frac{2b+gq}{2hq} = \begin{cases} +\frac{\pi}{2} + \arctan\frac{G}{2} & \text{if } b > 0 \\ -\frac{\pi}{2} + \arctan\frac{G}{2} & \text{if } b < 0. \end{cases}$$

Therefore

$$\Phi = \arctan\frac{2b+gq}{2hq}. \tag{53}$$

The following table shows the correspondence between the notations.

Finsleroid-Finsler metric [2]	formula (49)
$b = b_i(\mathbf{x})y^i$ (the Finsleroid axis 1 - form)	$d\alpha$
$a_{ij}(\mathbf{x})y^iy^j$ (the Riemannian norm sqare)	F_*^2
$g = g(\mathbf{x})$ and $-2 < g(\mathbf{x}) < 2$ (the Finsleroid charge)	$\frac{K(\mathbf{x})}{2}$
$h = \sqrt{1-\frac{g^2}{4}}$	$\sqrt{1-\frac{K^2}{16}} = \frac{\sqrt{16-K^2}}{4}$
$G = g/h$	$\frac{2K}{\sqrt{16-K^2}}$

We have that

$$\left(b^2+gqb+q^2\right)(v+tX_*(p))=t^2+\frac{K(p)}{2}F_*(v)t+F_*^2(v),$$

$$\Phi(v+tX_*(p))\overset{(53)}{=}\arctan\frac{2b+gq}{2hq}(v+tX_*(p))=\arctan\frac{1}{\sqrt{16-K^2(p)}}\left(\frac{4t}{F_*(v)}+K(p)\right)$$

and, consequently,

$$E(v+tX_*(p))\overset{(49)}{=}K^*(p)\left(F_*^2(v)+K(p)F_*(v)\frac{t}{2}+t^2\right)e^{2A(v,t)}= \tag{54}$$

$$2K^*(p)e^{-G(p)\arctan\frac{G(p)}{2}}\cdot \text{ Finsleroid-Finsler energy},$$

where

$$G=\frac{2K}{\sqrt{16-K^2}}$$

and the Finsleroid-Finsler energy is

$$\frac{1}{2}e^{G\Phi}(b^2+gqb+q^2)$$

in the sense of formula (50). □

6. The proofs of the main theorems

6.1. **A continuity argument and the proof of Theorem B.** In the previous section we proved that metric (49) is conformal to a Finsleroid-Finsler metric. Since Finsleroid-Finsler metrics have indicatrices of constant positive curvature [2] (formula (2.32), page 284) it follows that the condition of the positivity of the sectional curvature in the main theorem can be extended to a local neighbourhood U of p together with the regularity condition $d_q\alpha\neq 0$ ($q\in U$) and the steps of the proof can be repeated to have the special form (49) of $E_{|TU}$.

6.2. **The converse of Theorem B and the proof of Theorem A.** Using formulas (A.5), (A.7) and (A.12) in [2] (page 295) a direct computation shows that

$$EX^l\alpha_l=Eg^{kl}\alpha_k\alpha_l=\begin{cases}\frac{1}{2}(b^2+q^2)\ \ \text{(Asanov's notations)}\\ \\ \frac{1}{2}F_*^2=E_*.\end{cases}$$

This means that

$$G^l\alpha_l-\tilde{G}^l\alpha_l\overset{(6)}{=}EX^l\alpha_l-(y^m\alpha_m)^2$$

is quadratic in the tangent spaces, i.e.

$$0=\frac{\partial^3G^l}{\partial y^i\partial y^j\partial y^k}\alpha_l-\frac{\partial^3\tilde{G}^l}{\partial y^i\partial y^j\partial y^k}\alpha_l\quad\Rightarrow\quad\tilde{P}^l_{ijk}\alpha_l=P^l_{ijk}\alpha_l.$$

On the other hand formula (6) shows that

$$\tilde{P}^l_{ijk}=P^l_{ijk}$$

if and only if

$$0=\frac{\partial^3G^l}{\partial y^i\partial y^j\partial y^k}-\frac{\partial^3\tilde{G}^l}{\partial y^i\partial y^j\partial y^k},$$

i.e. EX^l is quadratic in the tangent spaces for all indices $l=1,\ldots,n$. Since

$$EX^l=Eg^{kl}\alpha_k=\frac{1}{2}(b^2+q^2)-\frac{gq}{2}v^l, \tag{55}$$

where $v^l=y^l-bb^l$ (see Asanov's notations (A.5) in [2]), we have that it is impossible because of the term q unless $g=0$ (the Finslerian charge vanishes) and the space is Riemannian. For different proofs of Theorem A and the solution of Matsumoto's problem see [16], [17] and [18].

7. A note on the two-dimensional case

The case of dimension 2 seems to be easier in the beginning: Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 is automatically holds because three vector fields must be linearly dependent in a two-dimensional vector space (vector fields which are tangential to the indicatrix form a one-dimensional linear space; cf. Remark 2 and Theorem 1). The rigidity conditions of type

$$\tilde{P}^l_{ijk} = P^l_{ijk} \quad \text{or} \quad \tilde{P}^l_{ijk}\alpha_l = P^l_{ijk}\alpha_l$$

implies the existence of the associated Riemannian metric (see section 4.1.) independently of the dimension of the space and differential equation (28) follows in the same way. Unfortunately, the solution seems to be hard because of two main reasons:

- we need at least three independent directions to conclude that $b^2 = 1$ in section 4.4,
- we should pay a special attention to the initial conditions in section 4.5: they must be formulated along the one-dimensional linear subspace

$$T_pN = \{v \in T_pM \mid v(\alpha) = 0\}, \quad \text{where} \quad \dim T_pM = 1.$$

This means that the origin, as the singularity of the Finslerian setting, divides the subspace into two disjoint connected parts (open half lines) and the initial condition for the unknown function

$$z(t) = \frac{X^l_* \partial E/\partial y^l}{E}(v + tX_*(p))$$

can be described independently along the opposite directions $\pm v$ (cf. Theorem 5 in dimension 3). Using $b^2 = 1$ as **an additional condition** we can linearize the term gq on the right hand side of formula (55) by choosing the "constant" with opposite signs $\pm g$ for the opposite half-lines. Therefore singular solutions of the generalized Matsumoto's problem in dimension 2 can be presented. Especially, any two-dimensional Finsler space with constant main scalar admits conformal changes keeping the mixed curvature tensor of the Berwald connection invariant; for the details see [13] and Berwald's list of Finsler spaces with constant main scalar [5], formulas 118 I-III; see also [6].

References

[1] G. S. Asanov, *Finslerian metric functions over the product $\mathbb{R} \times M$ and their potential appliacations*, Rep. on Math. Phys., Vol. 41, No. 1 (1998), 117-132.

[2] G. S. Asanov, Finsleroid-Finsler spaces of positive definite and relativistic types, Rep. Math. Phys. 58 (2006), pp. 275-300.

[3] D. Bao, On two curvature-driven problems in Riemann-Finsler geometry, Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics 48, 2007, pp. 19-71.

[4] D. Bao, S. - S. Chern and Z. Shen, *An Introduction to Riemann-Finsler geometry*, Springer-Verlag, 2000.

[5] L. Berwald, *Über zweidimensionale allgemeine metrische Räume*, J. reine angew. Math. 156 (1927), 191-210 and 211-222.

[6] L. Berwald, *On Finsler and Cartan Geometries III, Two-dimensional Finsler spaces with rectilinear extremals*, Ann. of Math. 42 (1941), 84-112.

[7] J. Grifone, *Structure presque-tangente et connexions I*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble 22 (1) (1972), 287-334.

[8] J. Grifone, *Structure presque-tangente et connexions II*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble 22 (3) (1972), 291-338.

[9] M. Hashiguchi, *On conformal transformations of Finsler metrics*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 16 (1976), 25-50.

[10] M. Hashiguchi and Y. Ichijyō, *On conformal transformations of Wagner spaces*, Rep. Fac. Sci. Kagoshima Univ. (Math., Phys., Chem.) No. 10 (1977), 19-25.

[11] M. Matsumoto, *Foundations of Finsler Geometry and Special Finsler spaces*, Kaisheisa Press, Otsu (1986).

[12] M. Matsumoto, *Conformally Berwald and conformally flat Finsler spaces*, Publ. Math. Debrecen, 58 (1-2) (2001), 275-285.

[13] Sz. Vattamány and Cs. Vincze, *On a new geometrical derivation of two-dimensional Finsler manifolds with constant main scalar*, Period. Math. Hungar. 48 (1-2) (2004), 61-67.

[14] Cs. Vincze, *An intrinsic version of Hashiguchi-Ichijyō's theorems for Wagner manifolds*, SUT J. Math. 35 (2) (1999), 263-270.

[15] Cs. Vincze, *On Wagner connections and Wagner manifolds*, Acta Math. Hung. 89 (1-2) (2000), 111-133.
[16] Cs. Vincze, *On conformal equivalence of Berwald manifolds all of whose indicatrices have positive curvature*, SUT J. Math. 39 (1) (2003), 15-40.
[17] Cs. Vincze, *On a scale function for testing the conformality of Finsler manifolds to a Berwald manifold*, Journal of Geometry and Physics. 54 (2005), 454-475.
[18] Cs. Vincze, *On geometric vector fields of Minkowski spaces and their applications*, J. Diff. Geom. and Its Appl. 24 (2006), 1-20.
[19] Cs. Vincze, *On Berwald and Wagner manifolds*, J. AMAPN, 24 (2008) 169-178.
[20] Cs. Vincze, *Generalized Berwald manifolds with semi-symmetric linear connections*, Publ. Math. Debrecen 83 (4) (2013), pp. 741-755.

Inst. of Math., Univ. of Debrecen, H-4010 Debrecen, P.O.Box 12, Hungary
E-mail address: csvincze@science.unideb.hu